

Published on Web 11/25/2009

Synthesis of Dialkyl Ethers from Organotrifluoroborates and Acetals

T. Andrew Mitchell and Jeffrey W. Bode*

Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Berneylvania, 10104, 6323

Pennsylvania 19104-6323

Received August 2, 2009; E-mail: bode@sas.upenn.edu

The 1852 report of Williamson on the displacement of alkyl halides with alkoxides established the state of the art for the synthesis of unsymmetrical ethers.¹ Its widespread adoption has entrenched the notion that carbon—heteroatom bonds are generally easier to form than carbon—carbon bonds. In the intervening 150 years, chemists have greatly simplified and expanded the range of C–C bond-forming reactions, leaving the preparation of ethers under classical conditions a relatively harsh and unsavory approach to bond construction. As part of a program aimed at developing modern methods for the synthesis of common functional groups,² we now report a strategic alternative to the synthesis of dialkyl ethers that involves the combination of stable, easily prepared acetals and potassium aryl-, alkenyl-, or alkynyltrifluoroborate salts (eq 1):

$$R^{1} \xrightarrow{R^{2}} O \longrightarrow OMe + R^{3}-BF_{3}^{-}K^{+} \xrightarrow{BF_{3}^{\bullet}OEt_{2}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{R^{2}} O \longrightarrow R^{3} (1)$$

The limitations of the Williamson ether synthesis arise from the need for strongly basic nucleophiles and good leaving groups that can lead to side reactions. These reactions are also sensitive to steric hindrance and often cannot be applied to the preparation of more substituted products. In seeking to identify a general approach to ether construction under mild conditions from readily available starting materials, we considered a C-C bond-forming disconnection rather than the traditional C-O bond-forming approach (Scheme 1). Lewis acid-mediated additions of strong organometallic reagents (e.g., alkyllithiums or Grignard reagents) or allylsilanes to acetals are well-known but usually proceed under harsh conditions or with reactants that require prior preparation.³ Despite the improved availability and operational simplicity offered by boronic acids⁴ and potassium organotrifluoroborates,⁵ there have been very few reports of ether formation⁶ with these reagents. This contrasts with the powerful additions of boron nucleophiles to imines pioneered by Petasis as a mild and attractive synthesis of substituted amines.⁷

In developing this orthogonal approach to ether construction, we first sought to identify a stable functional group that could be easily introduced and would survive multistep synthesis prior to direct conversion to the desired ether. We selected acetals of L-menthol (1a-c) for our initial studies of the coupling with potassium alkynyltrifluoroborate 2a in the presence of additives (Table 1). Such starting materials are easily prepared under mildly basic or mildly acid conditions,⁸ obviating the need for strong bases at any stage of the ether synthesis. Although we initially believed that electronic differentiation of the acetal would be essential for regiocontrolled coupling (entries 1 and 2), our studies demonstrated that simple methoxymethyl (MOM) protecting groups were excellent, highly regioselective substrates with acetonitrile as the solvent (entry 3).9 Toluene gave inferior results (entry 6), but dichloromethane was a viable solvent (entry 7). Under these conditions, other fluorophilic Lewis acids gave no reaction (entry 8) or effected Scheme 1. General Approaches to Alkyl Ether Formation







entry	Y	Lewis acid	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
1	SMe (1a)	BF ₃ •OEt ₂	CH ₃ CN	0	1	45
2	OCH ₂ CH ₂ OMe (1b)	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH ₃ CN	0	1	84
3	OMe (1c)	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH ₃ CN	0	1	91
4	OMe (1c)	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH ₃ CN	-78	2	NR^{c}
5	OMe (1c)	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH ₃ CN	-40	6	69
6	OMe (1c)	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	toluene	0	1	18^{b}
7	OMe (1c)	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH_2Cl_2	0	2	85
8	OMe (1c)	TMSCl	CH_2Cl_2	40	6	NR^{c}
9	OMe (1c)	SiCl ₄	THF	0	2	$_^d$
10	OMe (1c)	SiCl ₄	$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CN}$	0	2	87

 a Isolated yield after chromatography. b Percent conversion including a significant quantity of L-menthol detected by ¹H NMR analysis (500 MHz). c No reaction. d MOM deprotection was observed; no desired product was detected by ¹H NMR analysis (500 MHz) of the unpurified reaction mixture.

deprotection (entry 9); however, $SiCl_4$ in acetonitrile provided the desired product in 87% yield (entry 10). The major side product in these reactions was L-menthol, which arose from competing removal of the acetal and constituted the mass balance.

(–)-Menthol–MOM **1c** was treated with a variety of potassium organotrifluoroborates **2** to provide the desired ethers **3** in moderate to good yields (Table 2). Potassium alkynyltrifluoroborate (**2b**) proceeded in good yield under the optimized conditions (entry 1). Because of the reduced reactivity of sp²-hybridized potassium organotrifluoroborates, we found it necessary to premix excess potassium organotrifluoroborate and BF₃·OEt₂ in dichloromethane prior to addition of the acetal.¹⁰ Potassium allyl- (**2c**) and alkenyltrifluoroborates (**2d**–**f**) proceeded in good yield under these modified conditions (entries 2–5). Simple potassium aryltrifluoroborates gave lower yields and regioselectivities (entries 9–11).¹¹ Preliminary

COMMUNICATIONS

-)-Menthol-	-0~0M	9 + R ³ -BF ₃ -K+	BF ₃ •OEt ₂ (2–4 equiv)		(-)-Menthol-O	
1c (1.0	1c (1.0 equiv) 2 (2-4 equiv)		0.1 M CH ₂ Cl ₂ 0->23 °C, 1-2	h	3	
entry	R	³ –BF ₃ K	2 (equiv)	BF ₃ •OEt ₂ (equiv)	% yield $(3)^b$	
1°	TMS	BF ₃ K	2b (2.0)	2.0	71 (3 b)	
2	Ĩ	→ ^{BF} 3K	2c (4.0)	4.0	77 (3c)	
3	C ₈ H ₁₇	∕∕∕BF ₃ K	2d (4.0)	4.0	64 (3d)	
4	ci A	BF ₃ K	2e (4.0)	4.0	65 (3e)	
5	Ph 🧹	∕ ≫ BF ₃ K	2f (4.0)	4.0	53 (3f)	
6		BF ₃ K	2 g (4.0)	4.0	57 (3 g)	
7	Me	BF ₃ K	2h (4.0)	4.0	76 (3h)	
8	\bigcirc	BF ₃ K	2i (4.0)	4.0	74 (3 i)	
9	Br	BF ₃ K	2j (4.0)	3.0	37 (3j)	
10	\ <u>ر</u>	BF ₃ K	2k (4.0)	3.0	40 (3 k)	
11	Br	BF ₃ K	2l (4.0)	3.0	45 (3 I)	

Table 2. Variation of Potassium Organotrifluoroborate **2** in the Lewis Acid-Promoted Dialkyl Ether Formation with Acetal **1c**^{*a*}

^{*a*} Premixing of BF₃·OEt₂ and R³–BF₃K **2** in CH₂Cl₂ followed by addition of **1c** (0.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ provided superior results. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after chromatography. ^{*c*} Reaction performed at 0 °C in CH₃CN (cf. Table 1).

attempts to employ potassium alkyl- and heteroaryltrifluoroborates gave primarily acetal deprotection.¹²

Primary, secondary, and tertiary MOM-protected alcohols all gave the desired products under these conditions (Table 3, entries 1-6). More substituted acetals were excellent substrates and afforded the expected ethers in good to excellent yield (entries 7-12). These experiments demonstrated that this process tolerates functional groups, including esters and alkyl halides (entries 11 and 12). Several of these products, such as the sterically demanding products prepared in entries 3-6 and the bromo-substituted compound in entry 12, would be particularly challenging to prepare by Williamson ether synthesis. With the more reactive potassium alkynyltrifluoroborates (cf. Table 3 vs Table 2), fewer equivalents of the nucleophile were required; in many cases, only 1.2 equiv was sufficient (i.e., Table 3, entries 7-10).

In accord with prior studies,¹³ ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy revealed the activation of potassium phenyltrifluoroborate **2g** by BF₃•OEt₂ to provide phenyldifluoroborane (21.88 ppm)¹⁴ and its corresponding etherate with consumption of BF₃•OEt₂.¹⁰ In addition, benzyl ether **3g** was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy upon addition of **Table 3.** Variation of Acetal 1 in the Lewis Acid-Promoted Dialkyl Ether Formation with Potassium Alkynyltrifluoroborate $2a^a$



entry	acetal	1	2a (equiv)	BF ₃ ·OEt ₂ (equiv)	% yield $(3)^b$
1	MeOOMe	1d	1.2	1.2	84 (3m)
2	<i>n</i> -heptyl OOOMe	1e	2.0	2.0	77 (3n)
3	Me Me Me Me	1f	2.0	2.0	80 (3 0)
4	Ph O OMe	1g	2.0	2.0	56 (3 p)
5	Me Me Me OMe Me	1h	2.0	2.0	52 (3 q)
6	Me Me Me O OMe	1i	2.0	2.0	45 (3 r)
7	Me MeO OMe	1j	1.2	1.2	88 (3 s)
8	<i>n</i> -pentyl MeO OMe	1k	1.2	1.2	86 (3 t)
9	Eto OEt	11	1.2	1.2	84 (3u)
10	Me Ph MeO OMe	1m	1.2	1.2	90 (3 v) ^c
11	MeO OMe	1n	2.0	2.0	$67 \left(\mathbf{3w} \right)^d$
12	Br MeO OMe	10	2.0	2.0	$98 (\mathbf{3x})^d$

^{*a*} All reactions were carried out on a 0.5 mmol scale. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after chromatography. ^{*c*} dr = 1.5:1.0, as determined by ¹H NMR analysis (500 MHz) of the unpurified product. ^{*d*} Reaction allowed to warm to 23 °C over 4 h.

(-)-menthol-MOM **1c**, demonstrating the intermediacy of phenyldifluoroborane. Secondary kinetic isotope effects indicative of sp³-to-sp² hybridization (i.e., oxocarbenium formation) as the ratedetermining step were observed between **1c** and isotopically labeled **1c'** regardless of the potassium organotrifluoroborate employed (Scheme 2).¹⁵ Crossover studies utilizing isotopically labeled derivatives of **1c** gave the expected ethers **3a/3a'** and all possible isotopically labeled isomers of **1c**, as observed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, suggesting that the rate-limiting formation of the oxocarbenium intermediate was reversible (Scheme 3).

On the basis of these experiments, a plausible reaction pathway is proposed (Scheme 4). Upon interaction of the potassium organotrifluoroborate II with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, organodifluoroborane IV and its corresponding etherate (not shown) are produced and presumably serve as the active Lewis acids. The less encumbered Scheme 2. Normal Secondary Kinetic Isotope Effects Demonstrate That the Proposed Oxocarbenium Intermediate Is Formed in the Rate-Determining Step







Scheme 4. Postulated Reaction Pathway for Ether Formation



oxygen of acetal I binds to organodifluoroborane IV to form zwitterion V, which reversibly collapses to form oxocarbenium VI and nucleophilic organodifluoroalkoxyborate VII. We postulate that the rate-determining nature of the oxocarbenium formation (cf. Scheme 2) is due to this equilibrium and that nucleophilic capture of a discrete oxocarbenium intermediate VI by organodifluoroalkoxyborate VII is relatively facile, ultimately delivering dialkyl ether III. The superior performance of potassium alkynyltrifluoroborates relative to other potassium organotrifluoroborates is attributed to the greater migratory ability of sp-hybridized alkynyldifluoroalkoxyborates **VII** ($\mathbb{R}^3 = alkynyl$).

In summary, we have identified a versatile synthesis of dialkyl ethers via cross-coupling of potassium organotrifluoroborates and acetals. This work provides a strategic alternative to the synthesis of ethers from distinct reaction partners without the need for deprotection or redox chemistry.¹⁶ It interfaces with the growing interest in stable, commercially available organoboron reagents for the modular synthesis of complex molecules.¹⁷ Furthermore, it offers an avenue to the synthesis of highly hindered ethers, such as those derived from chiral secondary and tertiary alcohols. The known ability of transition-metal catalysts to accelerate similar processes¹⁸ offers a pathway for future developments to enhance the chemical yield, substrate scope, and stereochemical outcome.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health (GM-079339) and Bristol Myers Squibb for support of this research. We thank Garv Molander. Noel Ellis, Deidre Sandrock, and Belgin Canturk for generous donation of potassium organotrifluoroborates and helpful discussions. We thank George Furst and Pinguan Zheng for helpful discussions regarding the ¹¹B NMR and KIE studies.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Williamson, A. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1852, 106, 229. (1)
- Bode, J. W.; Fox, R. M.; Baucom, K. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, (2)1248
- (3)(a) Review of acetal-based ether synthesis: Mukaiyama, T. Synthesis 1987, 1043. Selected examples of alternative approaches to dialkyl ether synthesis: (b) Zaccheria, F.; Psaro, R.; Ravasio, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5221. (c) Taber, D. F.; Paquette, C. M.; Reddy, P. G. Tetrahedron 50, 5221. (c) Taber, D. F.; Paquette, C. M.; Reddy, P. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, 50, 2462. (d) Spantulescu, M. D.; Boudreau, M. A.; Vederas, J. C. Org. *Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 645. (e) Zhang, Z.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Org. *Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 2079. (f) Felstead, R.; Gibson, S. E.; Rooney, A.; Tse, E. S. Y. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 4963. (g) Fisk, J. S.; Tepe, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3058. (h) Corma, A.; Renz, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3058. (h) Corma, A.; Renz, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 298. (i) Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4242. (j) Oe, Y.; Ohta, T.; Ito, Y. Synlett 2005, 179. (k) Shintou, T.; Mukaiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7359. (l) Brandes, A.; Eggert, U.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. Synlett 1994, 745. (m) Cox, G. G.; Kulagowski, J. J.; Moody, C. J.; Sie, E.-R. H. B. Synlett 1992, 975.
 (4) Boronic Acids; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany 2005.
 (5) (c) Stoffmi, H. 4. Celle, B. Visire, A. S. Tetrahednon 2007, 62, 2623. (k)
- (a) Stefani, H. A.; Cella, R.; Vieira, A. S. *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 3623. (b) Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. *Chem. Rev.* 2008, 108, 288. (c) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 275
- C-Glycoside syntheses: (a) Vieira, A. S.; Fiorante, P. F.; Hough, T. L. S.; (6)Ferreira, F. P.; Ludtke, S. L.; Stefani, H. A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5215. (b) Ramnauth, J.; Poulin, O.; Rakhit, S.; Maddaford, S. P. Org. Lett. **2006**, *10*, 3210, *10*, 2013. Cross-coupling: (c) Molander, G. A.; Canturk, B. Org. Lett. **2008**, 10, 2135. Allylation with organoborates: (d) Hunter, R.; Tomlinson, G. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2013. (e) Hunter, R.; Michael, J. P.; Tomlinson, G. D. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 871. Aryl ether syntheses: (f) Quach, T. D.; Batey, R. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1381. (g) Chan, D. M. T.; Monaco, K. L.; Wang, R.-P.; Winters, M. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2933. (h) Evans,
- (7) Reviews: (a) Petasis, N. A. Aust. J. Chem. 2007, 60, 795. (b) Kaiser, P. F.; Churches, Q. I.; Hutton, C. A. Aust. J. Chem. 2007, 60, 795. (b) Kaiser, P. F.; Churches, Q. I.; Hutton, C. A. Aust. J. Chem. 2007, 60, 799.
 (8) (a) Gras, J.-L.; Chang, Y.-Y. K. W.; Guerin, A. Synlett 1985, 74. (b)
- Berliner, M. A.; Belecki, K. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9618.
- With the substrate combination employed in Table 1, the regioselectivity was \sim 15:1. In some cases with less nucleophilic organotrifluoroborates, the corresponding methyl ethers could be observed.
- (10) See the Supporting Information for details.
- (11) With potassium aryltrifluoroborates, significant amounts of the methyl ether products were detected. The poor regioselectivity observed with these substrates is under investigation.
- (12) Although initial attempts with transition-metal catalysts led to deprotection or no reaction, further investigations are ongoing.
- (13) (a) Stafford, S. L. Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41, 807. (b) Bir, G.; Schacht, W.; Kaufmann, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 340, 267. (c) Vedejs, E.; Chapman, R. W.; Fields, S. C.; Lin, S.; Schrimpf, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3020. (d) Matteson, D. S.; Kim, G. Y. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2153.
- (14) Phenyldifluoroborane was observed by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy (24.7 ppm) when potassium phenyltrifluoroborate was treated with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in C_6D_6 : Farooq, O. J. Fluorine Chem. 1995, 70, 225.
- (15) Singleton, D. A.; Thomas, A. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9357, and references cited therein.
- (16) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48. 2854.
- (a) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3565. (b)
 Molander, G. A.; Sandrock, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15792.
 (c) Gillis, E. P.; Burke, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6716. (d) (17)Nogushi, H.; Hojo, K.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 758.
- (18) (a) Batey, R. A.; Thadani, A. N.; Smil, D. V. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1683. (b) Brak, K.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3850.

JA906514S